arXiv:1411.4358v2 [math.CO] 6 Jan 2015

Cosets, Voltages, and Derived Embeddings

Steven Schluchter *

January 7, 2015

Abstract

An ordinary voltage graph embedding of a graph in a surface encodes a certain kind of highly symmetric covering space of that surface. Given an ordinary voltage graph embedding of a graph G in a surface with voltage group A and a connected subgraph H of G, we define special subgroups of A that depend on H and show how cosets of these groups in A can be used to derive topological information about the derived embedding. Our approach has its beginnings in a theorem of Gross and Alpert. Our strongest theorems treat the case that H is a circle and the fiber over H is a disjoint union of circles with annular neighborhoods, in which case we are able to deduce specific symmetry properties of the derived embeddings. We give infinite families of examples that demonstrate the usefulness of our results. 2010 AMS Primary Subject Classification 05C10. Keywords: voltage graph, graph embedding.

1 Introduction

A cellular embedding of a graph in a surface encodes a cellular decomposition of the surface. While a cellular graph embedding can be encoded in the form of a combinatorial object, say a rotation scheme or a walk double cover, the combinatorial objects themselves do sometimes obscure the embeddings they encode: a rotation scheme encodes a graph embedding in the form of cyclic orderings of edges incident to a vertex, and a cycle or walk double cover encodes a graph embedding in the form of boundary walks of the faces of the embedding. If K is a connected 2-complex induced by a cellular graph embedding, then the combinatorial structure of the embedded graph is not necessarily clear if all one understands about K is a set of lists of edges that form a walk double cover. Similar difficulties arise from considering graph embeddings encoded in the form of rotation schemes. Moreover, in the case of a walk double cover it is not immediately clear that $|K|$, the geometric realization of K, is homeomorphic to a surface.

Another way to encode a cellular graph embedding is with an assignment of algebraic data to another cellular graph embedding. This is the basic idea behind current graphs [6, §4.4] and the various forms of voltage graph embeddings. In each of these cases, the embedding that is encoded, called the *derived embedding*, is a (sometimes branched) covering space of the surface or pseudosurface containing the encoding, called the *base embedding*. Current graphs lend themselves easily to the encoding of trianglular embeddings of complete graphs [6, Example 4.4.1]. Ordinary voltage graphs are equally as powerful a tool because they are related by duality to current graphs and tend to be more use user friendly in that the structure of the encoded graph is much more straightforward. Ordinary voltage graphs and some related formulations have been used to construct specific kinds embeddings of graphs in surfaces and pseudosurfaces. Archdeadon in [2] uses his medial-graph enhancement of ordinary voltage graph embeddings to construct orientable and nonorientable embeddings of specific complete bipartite graphs with specific bipartite topological dual graphs. Archdeacon, Conder, and Širáň in [3] use a voltage assignment to the triangles of a triangulated cellular graph embedding in order to construct infinitely many graph embeddings featuring multiple kinds of symmetries. Ellingham and Schroeder in [4] use ordinary voltage graph embeddings to construct an embedding of the complete tripartite graph $K_{n,n,n}$ in an orientable surface such that the boundary of every face is a Hamilton cycle. More recently, in [1], ordinary voltage graph embeddings were used in the cataloging of all celluar automorphisms of all surfaces of Euler characteristic at least -1.

In these and other applications of (ordinary) voltage graph embeddings the desired embeddings are not understood well beyond what they were designed to accomplish. This is in part due to the fact that the encoded embedding has to be understood through two layers of encryption: a rotation scheme (or

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, MS: 3F2, Fairfax, VA 22030.

walk double cover) and the assignment of elements of a finite group, called the *voltage group*, to the edges of the embedding, called a *voltage assignment*. The purpose of this article is to show that given an ordinary voltage graph embedding and a connected subgraph H of G, there is topological information about the derived embedding that is contained in the cosets of specially constructed subgroups in A that depend on H. The strongest theorems treat the case in which H is a connected 2-regular subgraph, which is called a *circle*.

In Section 2, we review all necessary graph theory and topological graph theory, including Archdeacon's extension of a voltage assignment to the subdivided medial graph of the base embedding. In Section 3, we develop our theory for connected subgraphs H of G as an outgrowth of a theorem of Gross and Alpert (Theorem 3.1). Focusing specially on circle subgraphs C of G, we show that if each lift of C has an annular neighborhood, then an understanding of the nature of the intersection or containment of the cosets of these special groups in the voltage group can lead to an understanding of the derived surface in terms of surfaces with boundary, whose boundary components are the lifts of C. In Section 4, we show how our theorems can be used to produce infinite families of examples of derived embeddings that have distinctive topological properties.

2 Definitions and Basic Information

2.1 Graphs, graph embeddings, and 2-complexes

For our purposes, a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a finite and connected multigraph. An edge is a *link* if it is not a loop. A path in G is a sequence of vertices and edges $v_1e_1 \dots e_{n-1}v_n$ such that the vertices are all distinct and the edge e_i connects vertices v_i and v_{i+1}. Given a subgraph H of G, an H_1-H_2-path is a path that has one of its end vertices in H_1, the other end vertex in H_2, and no other vertices in $H_1 \cup H_2$. We let $D(G)$ denote the set of all darts (directed edges) in G. Each dart $d \in D(G)$ has a head vertex $h(d)$ and a tail vertex $t(d)$. We say that two darts on the same edge are *opposites* of eachother, and we adopt the convention that one dart is called the *positive edge* and the other is called the *negative edge*; d^{-1} is the opposite of d. A *walk* W is a sequence of darts $d_1d_2 \dots d_m$ such that $h(d_i) = t(d_{i+1})$. If $h(d_m) = t(d_1)$, then we say that W is a *closed walk*. The notion of H-walk is defined by analogy with H-path. We define the operation of *subdivision of an edge* e to be the replacement of e with a path of length 2. We also define the *subdivision of a graph* to be the operation of subdividing each edge of the graph. To graphs G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic if there is map $\phi\colon G_1 \to G_2$ that bijectively maps vertices to vertices and edges to edges such that the incidence of edges at vertices is preserved.

Let S denote a surface without boundary, and let $\hat{S}$ denote a surface with boundary. A *cellular embedding* of G in S is an embedding that subdivides S into 2-cells. A *proper embedding* of G in $\hat{S}$ is defined to be an embedding that subdivides $\hat{S}$ into 2-cells; we require that the boundary components of $\hat{S}$ are contained in the image of G. Given $G \to S$, we will let $\{C_i(S), \partial\}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-chain complex whose geometric realization is S: $C_0(S), C_1(S)$, and $C_2(S)$ are the formal sums of vertices, edges, and faces of the 2-complex created by $G \to S$. We let $Z(G)$ denote the subspace of $C_1(G)$ generated by the 1-chains inducing circles in G, which is called the *cycle space of* G. We let $\partial\colon C_i(S) \to C_{i-1}(S)$ denote the usual boundary operator. We use similar notation for the 2−complex created by $G \to \hat{S}$. For $X \in C_2(S)$, we let $S\colon X$ denote the subcomplex of S consisting of the faces and all subfaces of X. We let $G\colon X$ denote the subgraph of G consisting of all subfaces of X. Given $G \to S$, (or $G \to \hat{S}$) we say that that a circle subgraph C of G is *separating* if $S \setminus C$ (or $\hat{S} \setminus C$) is not connected and *nonseparating* otherwise. We can also treat G as a 1-complex and adopt similar notation: for $X \in C_1(G), C_1(S)$ or $X \subset E(G)$, let $G\colon X$ denote the subgraph of G consisting of all edges of X and all vertices of G to which the edges of X are incident. For $D_1 \subset D(G)$, let $G\colon D_1$ be the graph consisting of the edges whose darts appear in D_1.

For a subset S_1 of S_2, we let S_1^c denote the complement of S_1 in S_2. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is straightforward.

Lemma 2.1. Given $G \to S$ and $X \in C_2(S)$, $\partial X = \partial X^c$.

Proof. : Omitted. □

Consider $G \to S$ and a vertex v of G. Let $\rho : D(G) \to D(G)$ denote the permutation that takes d to the next dart in the cyclic order of darts with tail vertex $t(d)$. The order that follows this rotation is called the *rotation on* $t(d)$ and is denoted as a list $v : d_1d_2 \dots$. The permutation ρ combinatorially encodes $G \to S$ and is called a *rotation scheme on* G. Let $U^*(v)$ denote a set open in S that intersects v, ends of edges of $star_+(v)$, and no other edges or vertices of G. We will call $U^*(v)$ a *vertex-star neighborhood of* v. If one thickens G such that the vertices become discs and the edges become rectangular strips glued to the

discs, one produces what is called a *band decomposition of* S; the 0-*bands* are the discs, the 1-*bands* are the rectangular strips, and the 2-*bands* are the discs glued to the 1-bands and the 0-bands. If one of the two possible orientations on any given 1-band joining two 0-bands is consistent with both of the orientations induced by ρ on the joined 0-bands (see [6, Figures 3.13, 3.14] for enlightening diagrams), then we say that the edge corresponding to the 1-band is an *orientation-preserving edge* and an *orientation-reversing edge* otherwise. Given $G \to S$, an *orientation-reversing walk* is a walk consisting of an odd number of darts on type-1 edges, and an *orientation-preserving walk* otherwise. Similarly, a circle is an *orientation-reversing circle* if it contains an odd number of orientation-reversing edges and an *orientation-preserving circle* otherwise. Following the discussion in [6, p. 111] may reverse the orientation on a 0-band without changing the embedding that corresponds to the decomposition and the rotation scheme: the rotation on that vertex v is reversed (the rotation on v is then given by ρ^{-1}) and the orientation type of each link incident to v is changed. Archdeacon in [2] calls this process a *local sign switch*. Two graph embeddings are considered to be equivalent if their corresponding band decompositions differ by a sequence of local sign switches.

For a fixed vertex v of G, consider $U^*(v)$, and let the *corners* of $G \to S$ at v refer to the component of $U^*(v) \setminus G : star_+(v)$. The *medial graph* is the graph whose vertices are the edges of G and having an edge joining two vertices that correspond to the two edge ends of G that bound a corner of $G \to S$. The medial graph is clearly 4-regular. Each face of the medial graph embedding falls into one of two categories, those which correspond to the vertices of G and those which correspond to the faces of $G \to S$. An example of a medial graph of a cellular graph embedding appears in Figure 1.



Figure 1: A cellularly embedded graph G in the plane and the corresponding medial graph. The edges of the medial graph are dashed.

Throughout this article, for $G \to S$, $z \in C_1(S)$ shall denote a 1-chain of S inducing a circle in G. We let $R(z)$ denote an open set in S that contains only edges and vertices of $G : z$, and intersecting only those edge ends that are incident to vertices of $G : z$. We will call $R(z)$ a *ribbon neighborhood of* z; $R(z)$ is homeomorphic to an annulus or Möbius band.

We will call a set of 1-chains inducing orientation-preserving circles that have no vertices in common a *set of 1-chains having property* Δ. Let $T = \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$ denote a set of 1-chains having property Δ. We will call the connected sub 2-complexes of the 2-complex induced by $G \to S$ that are bounded by the circles induced by the 1-chains of T the *z-regions of* S *with respect to* T. Define the *z-graph of* S *with respect to* T, which we denote $\Gamma(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$, to be the graph whose vertices are the z-regions of S with respect to T and whose edges are the 1-chains of T: an edge z_j is incident to a vertex $S : K$ if $G : z_j$ is contained in the boundary of $S : K$, and if both components of $R(z_j) \setminus G : z_j$ are contained in $S : K$, then z_j is a loop at $S : K$.

Remark 2.2. The purpose of introducing the z-graph is to introduce a combinatorial manner of capturing the incidence of z-regions at the circles that bound them. A circle can bound up to two z-regions, and so it is fitting to describe the incidence of z-regions with a graph. Given $G \to S$ and a set of 1-chains having property Δ, it is easy to see that the corresponding z-graph is connected; for any two faces f_1, f_2 of $G \to S$, there is a sequence of faces $f_1 f_{a_1} f_{a_2} \ldots f_2$ such that any two consecutive faces share at least one boundary edge.

2.2 Ordinary voltage graphs and ordinary voltage graph embeddings

Following [6], we let e denote the *positive edge* on e and e^- denote the *negative edge* on e. Let A denote a finite group and let 1_A denote the identity element of A. An *ordinary voltage graph* is an ordered pair $\langle G, \alpha \to A \rangle$ such that $\alpha : D(G) \to A$ satisfies $\alpha(e^-) = \alpha(e)^{-1}$. The group element $\alpha(e)$ is called the *voltage* of e. Associated to each ordinary voltage graph is a *derived graph* $G^\alpha = (V \times A, E \times A)$. The directed edge (e, a) has tail vertex (v, a) and head vertex $(v, a\alpha(e))$; it is a consequence of this and

the conditions imposed on α that the dart $(e^-, a\alpha(e)^{-1})$ is the dart opposite (e, a). We will use the abbreviation v^a for (v, a) and e^a for (e, a). We let $p\colon G^\alpha \to S$ denote the projection (covering) map satisfying $p(e^a) = e$ and $p(v^a) = v$. For a walk $W = d_1 d_2 \dots d_m$, let $\omega(W) = \alpha(d_1)\alpha(d_2)\dots\alpha(d_m)$ denote the *net voltage of* W. If W begins at a vertex v, we let W_v^a denote the lift of W beginning at v^a Note that W_v^a ends at the vertex at which $W_v^{a\omega(W)}$ begins. We say that the lifts W_v^a and W_v^b are *consecutive* if $a\omega(W) = b$ or $b\omega(W) = a$. We call a set of lifts of the form

$$\left\{W_v^a, W_v^{a\omega(W)},\ W^{a\omega(W)^2},\ W^{a\omega(W)^3},\ \dots, W^{a\omega(W)^{|\langle\omega(W)\rangle|-1}}\right\}$$

a *a set of consecutive lifts of* W, and we let $\hat{W}_v^a$ denote the set of consecutive lifts of W containing W_v^a.

Also described in [6], an *ordinary voltage graph embedding* of G in S is an ordered pair $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$, which is referred to as a *base embedding*. Each base embedding encodes a *derived embedding*, denoted $G^\alpha \to S^\alpha$, in the *derived surface* S^α. Gross and Tucker in [6] describe the derived embedding according to rotation schemes, but we use Garman's manner of describing it. Garman points out in [5] that since it is the lifts of facial boundaries that form facial boundaries in S^α, S^α can be formed by "idendifying each component of a lifted region with sides of a 2-cell (unique to that component) and then performing the standard identification of edges from surface topology". It is therefore permissible to have a base embedding in a surface with or without boundary; for each (directed) edge e bounded on only one side by a face of $G \to \hat{S}$, each (directed) edge e^a is bounded on only one side by a face of $G^\alpha \to \hat{S}^\alpha$.

For $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$ we let S_v^a denote the component of S^α containing the vertex v^a, and for $\langle G, \alpha \to A\rangle$ we let G_v^a denote the component of G^α containing v^a. We use similar notation for induced ordinary voltage graphs and ordinary voltage graph embeddings: for $I \in C_2(S)$ and $v \in V(S:I)$, $(S:I)_v^a$ is the component of $(S:I)^\alpha$ containing v^a. For shorthand, if $x \in C_1(G)$ and $v \in V(G{:}x)$, we will use x_v^a to denote the 1-chain inducing $(G{:}x)_v^a$.

The voltage group A acts by left multiplication on G^α. For $c \in A$, let $c \cdot v^a = v^{ca}$, $c \cdot e^a = c \cdot e^{ca}$. This group action is clearly regular (free and transitive) on the fibers over vertices and (directed) edges of G^α, and so the components of G^α are isomorphic. This action extends to a transitive (not necessarily free) action on the faces forming the fiber over a face of a base embedding, and so the components of S^α are homeomorphic as topological spaces and isomorphic as cellular complexes. It is a consequence of the theory that the graph covering map can be extended to a (branched) covering map of surfaces, with or without boundary. Moreover, for a walk W based at a vertex v, if we let ω stand in place of $\omega(W)$ and $|\omega|$ stand in the place of $|\langle\omega(W)\rangle|$, we see here that the A-action extends to lifts of W and sets of consecutive lifts of W: $c \cdot W_v^a = W_v^{ca}$ and

$$c \cdot \hat{W}_v^a = \left\{W_v^a,\ W_v^{ca\omega},\ W_v^{ca\omega^2},\ W_v^{ca\omega^3},\ W^{ca\omega^{|\omega|-1}} \dots\right\}.$$

2.2.1 Archdeacon's Medial Graph Enhancement

Archdeacon in [2] developed an extension of an ordinary voltage graph embedding to its subdivided medial graph and showed that the derived embedding of the subdivided medial graph is the subdivided medial graph of the derived embedding. We state only the necessary definitions and theorems here. For a (directed) edge e such that $t(d) = u$, $h(d) = v$ and voltage $\alpha(e)$, define the operation of *subdividing the voltage assignment to* e to be the operation of replacing the (directed) edge e with a path ue_1we_2v of length two such that $t(e_1) = u$ $h(e_1) = t(e_2) = w$, $h(e_2) = v$, and assigning the voltages $\alpha(e_1) = \alpha(e)$, $\alpha(e_1^-) = \alpha(e)^{-1}$, $\alpha(e_2) = \alpha(e_2^-) = 1_A$. For a vertex v of G to which no loops are incident and $c \in A$, define a *local voltage modification at* v to be the result of replacing $\alpha(d)$ with $c\alpha(d)$ for all darts d on edge in $star(v)$ with tail vertex v (and $\alpha(d^{-1})$ with $d^{-1}c^{-1}$).

Lemma 2.3. [2, Lemma 3.3] If two ordinary voltage assignments to the same graph or the same graph embedding differ by a local voltage modification, then they encode isomorphic derived graphs or derived embeddings.

Given $\langle G, \alpha \to A\rangle$, the *subdivided ordinary voltage graph* $\langle G', \alpha' \to A\rangle$ is formed by subdividing the voltage assignment to every directed edge of G. Per [2], it is a consequence of Lemma 2.3 that the order of the edges doesn't matter since the voltage assignments are equivalent modulo a local voltage modification at the new degree two vertex.

Lemma 2.4. [2, Lemma 3.4] If $\langle G', \alpha' \to A\rangle$ is a subdivided ordinary voltage graph obtained from $\langle G, \alpha \to A\rangle$, then $(G')^{\alpha'}$ is isomorphic to G^α.

We now describe how to transfer an ordinary voltage graph embedding to the (subdivided) medial graph.

Construction 2.5. [2, §4] Given $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A \rangle$, the subdivided G', and the corresponding subdivided voltage assignment, consider the medial graph M of $G \to S$. Subdivide every edge of M to obtain the subdivided medial graph M'. We choose a preferred direction e^+ (recall that this is denoted e) on a fixed edge e of G and let v_e denote the corresponding vertex of the subdivided medial graph. There are two corners of $G \to S$ at $t(e)$ and containing e that correspond to two edges e_1, e_2 incident to v_e in the subdivided medial graph. Direct these two edges e_1^+ and e_2^+ so that they have head vertex v_e, and assign the voltage $\alpha(e^+)$ to both e_1^+ and e_2^+ and $\alpha(e^{-1})$ to e_1^- and e_2^-. The other darts of M' whose head or tail vertex is v_e are assigned voltage 1_A. We repeat this procedure for all other edges of G. Since there are no loops in the subdivided G' nor the subdivided medial graph M', we see that this *transferred voltage assignment* to M' is well defined, and it is consequence of Lemma 2.3 that a choice of preferred direction can be reversed by a choice of preferred direction can be reversed by a local voltage modification See Figure 2 □



Figure 2: The transferred medial voltage assignment. The edges of M' are the dashed edges.

Given $G \to S$, two consecutive darts $d_1 d_2$ of a facial boundary walk, and the corner of $G \to S$ that is bounded by $G : \{d_1, d_2\}$, we define the operation of *drawing an edge across a corner* to be the result of adding an edge joining $t(d_1)$ and $h(d_2)$ that intersects that corner. Note that if d_1 and d_2 are opposites of eachother, the edge drawn is a loop. Define the *total graph* $T(G)$ of $G \to S$ to be the graph formed by subdividing each edge of G and drawing in subdivided edges across each corner. Thus, the total graph has both the medial graph and G as minors. We define an *extended voltage assignment to the total graph* $T(G)$ to be an assignment that is created by subdividing the ordinary voltage assignment of G, adding subdivided edges across each corner, and including the transferred voltage assignment from G' to M', as in Construction 2.5. We denote the extended voltage assignment $\alpha_E \to A$. Note the existence of a function $\psi : T(G) \to T(G)$, which is the identity map on G' and projects the darts (and edges) of M' onto the darts of G' bounding the corner of $G \to S$ across which the darts (and edges) are drawn, as in Figure 3, where the images of vertices of M' is further explained. Note that for each dart d of $T(G)$, the extended voltage assignment α_E satisfies $\alpha(\psi(d)) = \alpha_E(d)$.



Figure 3: An elucidation of the definition of the map $\psi : M' \to G'$ for one corner of $G \to S$. The dashed edges are the edges of M'.

Theorem 2.6 is useful in that it guarantees that the derived embedding of M' with the extended voltage assignment is the subdivided medial graph of the derived embedding. Since the medial graph of an embedding combinatorially captures the incidence of faces and edges, Theorem 2.6 is valuable for our purposes.

Theorem 2.6. [2, Theorem 4.1] Consider $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$ and let M' be the subdivided medial graph with the transferred voltage assignment. The derived graph $(M')^\alpha$ is the medial graph of the derived graph of the subdivided G'.

3 Coset Lemmas and coset theorems

Given $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$, we examine carefully constructed subgroups of A corresponding to special induced subcomplexes of S, and we establish relationships between certain cosets of these subgroups and induced subcomplexes of S^α.

3.1 Cosets and constructions not requiring Archdeacon's medial-graph enhancement

Following [6, §2.5.1], the net voltages of the set of closed walks in G based at a vertex v form a group, which is denoted $A(v)$. We begin with the aforementioned result of Gross and Alpert.

Theorem 3.1. [6, Theorem 2.5.1] Let v be a vertex of $\langle G, \alpha \to A\rangle$. The vertices v^a and v^b are in the same component of G^α if and only if $a^{-1}b$ is an element of $A(v)$.

Lemma 3.2 establishes a relationship between left cosets of $A(v)$ in A and the connected components of S^α. Lemma 3.2 is a reformulation and strengthening of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. Given $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$ and a fixed vertex v of G, the map

$$\phi_v\colon \{aA(v)\colon\ a \in A\} \to \{S_v^a : a \in A\}$$

defined by $\phi_v(aA(v)) = S_v^a$ is a bijection.

Proof. : Since G_v^a is the 1-skeleton of S_v^a, it suffices to verify that ϕ_v is a bijection between the left cosets of $A(v)$ in A and the connected components of G^α. Since G is connected, for any vertex $u \in G$, there is a u-v-path H that lifts to a total of $|A|$ distinct $p^{-1}(u)$-$p^{-1}(v)$-paths, each one joining a vertex in the fiber over u to a distinct vertex in the fiber over v. Thus, it suffices to show that $G_v^a = G_v^b$ if and only if $a^{-1}b \in A(v)$, which follows from Theorem 3.1. □

Before we proceed any further, we need two technical lemmas. The proof of Lemma 3.3 is a straightforward application of the free and transitive action of a group on itself by left multiplication.

Lemma 3.3. Let X, Y, and Z denote groups such that $X \le Y \le Z$. For each $z \in Z$, the left coset zY can be partioned into left cosets yX, $y\ \in zY$.

Proof. Omitted. □

Lemma 3.4 establishes a relationship between the left cosets of a voltage group of net voltages of closed walks in an connected subcomplex X contained in an ordinary voltage graph embedding and components in the fiber over X contained in a specific component of S^α. The general formulation of Lemma 3.4 allows for easier applications to a wide range of cases.

Lemma 3.4. Let S_B denote a surface, possibly with boundary, and let G_B denote a connected graph properly embedded in S_B. Let A_B denote a finite group, and let $A_B(v)$ denote the local voltage group of closed walks based at $v \in V(G_B)$. Let X denote a connected subcomplex of S_B containing v. Consider $\langle G_B \to S_B\rangle, \alpha \to A_B$. Let $A_B(v, X)$ denote the group of net voltages of closed walks in X in v, and fix $a \in A_B$. For a fixed $a \in A_B$, the map

$$\phi_X\colon \{bA_B(v,X)\colon\ b \in aA_B(v)\} \to \left\{X_v^b\colon\ b \in aA_B(v)\right\}$$

defined by

$$\phi_X(bA_B(v,X)) = X_v^b$$

is a bijection.

Proof. : We consider the case for which the domain of ϕ_X is the set of left cosets of $A_B(v,X)$ in $A_B(v)$, which is the case for which $a = 1_A$. By Lemma 3.3 and the transitive left action of A on the fiber over X this case will suffice. Let $G(X)$ denote the 1-skeleton of X, and note that $G(X)_v^b$ is the 1-skeleton of X_v^b. It suffices to show that ϕ_X is a bijection between the $bA_B(v,X)$ and $G(X)_v^b$. Since $G(X)$ is connected, for any other vertex u of $G(X)$, there is a v-u path in $G(X)$ that lifts to $|A_B|$ distinct $p^{-1}(u)$-$p^{-1}(v)$-paths in $G(X)^\alpha$, each one joining a vertex in the fiber over u to a distinct vertex in the fiber over v. Thus, if we substitute $G(X)$, $A_B(v,X)$, and $A_B(v)$ in the statement of Theorem 3.1, we see that $G(X)_v^b = G(X)_v^c$ if and only if $b^{-1}c$ is an element of $A_b(v,X)$. Moreover, per Theorem 3.1, after substituting G_B, A_B, and $A_B(v)$ in the place of G, A, and $A(v)$, respectively, we see that $G(X)_v^b$ and $G(X)_v^c$ are contained in the same connected component of S_B^α if and only if $b^{-1}c$ is an element of $A_B(v)$. The result follows. □

Let $I \in C_2(S)$ be such that $S:I$ is connected and assume that v is a vertex of $G:I$. Let $A(v,S:I)$ denote the subgroup of $A(v)$ consisting of net voltages of closed walks in $G:I$ based at v. Note that

$$A(v,S:I) \leq A(v) \leq A.$$

By an application of Lemma 3.3 to the groups $A(v,S:I)$, $A(v)$, and A, we see that for each $a \in A$, the left coset $aA(v)$ can be partitioned into left cosets of the form $bA(v,S:I)$ for $b \in aA(v)$. Lemma 3.5 establishes a relationship between left cosets of $A(v,S:I)$ contained in a left coset $aA(v)$ and components of $(S:I)^\alpha$ contained in S^α.

Lemma 3.5. Given $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$ and a fixed $a \in A$. The map

$$\phi_I\colon \{bA(v,S:I) :\ b \in aA(v)\} \to \left\{(S:I)_v^b :\ b \in aA(v)\right\}$$

defined by $\phi_I(bA(v,I)) = (S:I)_v^b$ is a bijection.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.4 after letting S takes the place of S_B, A take the place of A_B, $A(v)$ take the place of $A_B(v)$, $S:I$ take the place of X, and $A(v,S:I)$ take the place of $A_B(v,X)$. □

Let $y \in C_1(G:I)$ have the property that $G:y$ is connected and assume that v is a vertex of $G:y$. Let $A(v,G:y)$ denote the subgroup of $A(v,S:I)$ consisting of net voltages of closed walks of $G:y$ based at v. Observe that

$$A(v,G:y) \leq A(v,S:I) \leq A(v).$$

By an application of Lemma 3.4 to the groups $A(v,G:y)$, $A(v,S:I)$, and $A(v)$, we see that for a fixed $a \in A$, each of the left cosets of the form $bA(v,S:I)$ contained in $aA(v)$ can be partitioned into left cosets $cA(v,G:y)$ for $c \in bA(v,S:I)$. Lemma 3.6 establishes a relationship between the left cosets of $A(v,G:y)$ contained in $bA(v,S:I)$ and the components of $(G:y)^\alpha$ contained in $(S:I)_v^b$, and its proof is straightforward in light of the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 3.6. Given $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$ with fixed $a \in A$, $B \in aA(v)$, the map

$$\phi_y\colon \{cA(v,G:y) :\ c \in bA(v,S:I)\} \to \{(G:y)_v^c :\ c \in bA(v,S:I)\}$$

defined by $\phi_y(cA(v,y)) = (G:y)_v^c$ is a bijection.

Proof. Omitted. □

Now let W denote a closed walk in $G:y$ based at v. Clearly

$$\langle\omega(W)\rangle \leq A(v,G:y) \leq A(v,S:I) \leq A(v) \leq A.$$

By an application of Lemma 3.4 to the groups $\langle\omega(W)\rangle$, $A(v,G:y)$, and $A(v,S:I)$, we see that for a fixed $a \in A$ and $b \in aA(v)$, each of the left cosets $cA(v,G:y)$ contained in $bA(v,S:I)$ can be partitioned into left cosets of the form $d\langle\omega(W)\rangle$ for $d \in cA(v,G:y)$. Lemma 3.7 establishes a relationship between the cosets of $\langle\omega(W)\rangle$ contained in the same left coset of $A(v,G:y)$ in $A(v,S:I)$ and sets of consecutive lifts of W of the same component of $(G:y)_v^\alpha$.

Lemma 3.7. Fix $a \in A$, $b \in aA(v)$, and $c \in baA(v,S:I)$. The function

$$\phi_{\hat{W}}\colon \{d\langle\omega(W)\rangle :\ d \in cA(v,G:y)\} \to \left\{\hat{W}_v^d :\ d \in cA(v,G:y)\right\}$$

defined by $\phi_{\hat{W}}(\langle d\omega(W)\rangle) = \hat{W}_v^d$ is a bijection whose image is the set of consecutive lifts of W contained in $(G:x)_v^c$.

Proof. We treat the case for which $c = 1_A$. By Lemma 3.3 and the transitive action of A on the components of $(G:y)^\alpha$, this case will suffice. The map $\phi_{\hat{W}}$ is well defined and injective since $\hat{W}_v^{d_1} = \hat{W}_v^{d_2}$ if and only if $d_1 = d_2 x$ for some $x \in \langle \omega(W) \rangle$. Surjectivity follows immediately from the definition of $\phi_{\hat{W}}$.

Note that $\hat{W}_v^{d_1} = \hat{W}_v^{d_2}$ are sets of consecutive lifts of W in $(G:y)_v^{1_A}$ if and only if v^{d_1} and v^{d_2} are contained in $(G:y)_v^{1_A}$, which by letting $G:y$ and $A(v, G:y)$ take the places of G and $A(v)$ in Theorem 3.1, respectively, is true if and only if $d_1^{-1} d_2 \in A(v, G:y)$. The result follows. □

The results in Theorem 3.8 follow readily from Lagrange's theorem for cosets and the above lemmas.

Theorem 3.8. Consider $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A \rangle$, and let $I \in C_2(S)$ be such that $S:I$ is connected. Let $y \in C_1(S:I)$ be such that $G:y$ is connected, and let W denote a closed walk of $G:y$ based at $v \in V(G:y)$.

1. There are $\frac{|A|}{|A(v)|}$ components of S^α.
2. There are $\frac{|A|}{|A(v,SI)|}$ components of $(S:I)^\alpha$ contained in each component of S^α.
3. There are $\frac{|A(v,SI)|}{|A(v,Gy)|}$ components of $(G:y)^\alpha$ contained in each component of $(S:I)^\alpha$.
4. There are $\frac{|A(v,Gy)|}{|\langle \omega(W) \rangle|}$ sets of consecutive lifts of W covering the edges of each component of $(G:y)^\alpha$.

Proof. Omitted. □

Construction 3.9 allows us to algebraically describe a decomposition of any component S_v^a of S^α in terms of the z-regions associated to the circles forming the fiber over a separating circle $G:z$. Since separating circles are orientation-preserving circles, each circle in the fiber over $G:z$ is also orientation preserving, and so the 1-chains forming the fiber over z are a set of 1-chains with property Δ.

Construction 3.9. Let $z = \partial I$ for some $I \in C_2(S)$ such that $G:z$ is a circle. By Lemma 2.1, $z = \partial I^c$, Let W be an Eulerian walk of $G:z$ based at $v \in V(G:z)$. Let $b_1, \ \ldots \ b_j, \ j = \frac{|A(v)|}{|\langle \omega(W) \rangle|}$ denote representatives of the left cosets of $\langle \omega(W) \rangle$ contained in $aA(v)$. By Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, we may conclude that for the 1-chains $z_v^{b_1}, \ldots, z_v^{b_j}$ contained in S_v^a, the vertices of the corresponding z-graph $\Gamma(z_v^{b_1}, \ldots, z_v^{b_j})$ correspond bijectively to the left cosets contained in the union

$$\{bA(v, S:I) : \ b \in aA(v)\} \bigcup \{bA(v, S:I^c) : \ b \in aA(v)\}.$$

Similarly, the left cosets of $\langle \omega(W) \rangle$ contained in $aA(v)$ correspond bijectively to the 1-chains z_v^b contained in S_v^a, which are the edges of $\Gamma(z_v^{b_1}, \ldots, z_v^{b_j})$. Thus, implicitly using the aforementioned bijections, we may say that the edges of $\Gamma(z_v^{b_1}, \ldots, z_v^{b_j})$ are incident to the vertices of $\Gamma(z_v^{b_1}, \ldots, z_v^{b_j})$ that contain them.

Remark 3.10. It is apparent that the graph constructed in Construction 3.9 is bipartite. The bipartition of the vertex set is into two sets S_1 and S_2, whose elements correspond to the elements of $\{bA(v, S:I) : \ b \in a(v)\}$ and $\{bA(v, S:I^c) : \ b \in aA(v)\}$, respectively.

3.2 Cosets requiring Archdeacon's medial-graph enhancement

Recall from Section 2.2.1 the subdivided medial graph M', the total graph $T(G)$ associated to a cellular graph embedding, and the extended voltage assignment to $T(G)$. Since $(M')^\alpha$ captures the incidence of faces and edges of $G^\alpha \to S^\alpha$, we introduce Definition 3.11 as a bookkeeping tool for computation that will allow for easier proofs of results and constructions of more z-graphs.

Definition 3.11. Consider $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A \rangle$, two adjacent vertices u and v of G, which are joined by an edge e, and a choice of *preferred direction* of e. Let v_e denote the vertex of the subdivided medial graph corresponding to e, and consider Figure 4. The subgraph $T(G) : \{(v, v_e), (w, v_e), (v_e, y)\}$ is called the *special claw corresponding to* e.



Figure 4: The edges of the special claw corresponding to e appear in gray on the left hand side of both graphics, each corresponding to a choice of preferred direction on e.

Remark 3.12. No matter the choice of preferred direction of e, any walk joining any two of the vertices w, v, and y in the special claw corresponding to e has net voltage 1_A. Therefore, the vertices in each component in the fiber over the special claw corresponding to e will have vertices v^a, w^a, y^a with identical group element superscripts.

Let $A'(w')$ denote the group of net voltages of closed walks in M' based at $w' \in V(M')$. Lemma 3.13 is immediate in light of the projection map $\phi : M' \to G'$.

Lemma 3.13. For a fixed vertex v of G, an edge e incident to v, the special claw corresponding to e, and a vertex w' of M' that takes the place of either of the vertices w or y in Definition 3.11, $A'(w') = A(v)$.

Proof. Omitted. □

Consider $G \to S$ and $y \in C_1(G)$ such that $G{:}y$ is connected. We introduce Definitions 3.14 and 3.15 for the sake of presenting them in the most general context, and alert the reader to the fact that they will be used in distinct settings in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2.

Definition 3.14. Let W' be a walk in M'. We say that W' transversely crosses $G{:}y$ if W' contains a subwalk $d_i d_{i+1}$ such that d_i intersects one component of $U^*(h(d_i)) \setminus (G{:}c_1)$ and d_{i+1} intersects the other.

Definition 3.15. Fix $w' \in V(M')$. Let $A^{\vee}(w', G{:}y)$ denote the subgroup of $A'(w')$ of walks in M' that do not transversely cross $G{:}y$.

For a vertex $w' \in V(M')$ and $z \in Z(G)$ inducing a circle such that the circles of $(G : z)^\alpha$ are orientation-preserving circles, we let $\bar{S}^b_{w'}(z)$ denote the z-region of S^α with respect to the set $\{z^a_v : a \in A\}$ that contains w'^b.

3.2.1 Circles over orientation-reversing circles

Consider $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$ for a nonorientable S and $z \in Z(G)$ such that $G{:}z$ is an orientation-reversing circle. Let W denote an Eulerian walk of $G{:}z$ and let $v_1, \ldots v_k$ denote an enumeration of the vertices of $G{:}z$ satisfying $v_1 = v$ and $v_i = t(d_i)$ for each i. For the remainder of Section 3.2.1, we let ω denote $\omega(W)$ and $|\omega|$ denote $|\langle\omega(W)\rangle|$. We also assume that $|\omega|$ is even so that the circles forming the fiber over $G{:}z$ are orientation preserving, per Lemma 3.16.

Lemma 3.16. Each circle of $(G{:}z)^\alpha$ is orientation preserving if and only if $|\omega|$ is even.

Proof. A circle is orientation-reversing if and only if it has an odd number of orientation-reversing edges. Following the proof of Theorem 3.8, thre are $|\omega|$ consecutive lifts of W that single cover the edges of each component of $(G{:}z)^\alpha$. The result follows. □

Consider a vertex-star neighborhood, $U^*(v)$ of v and consider an orientation of $U^*(v)$ induced by the rotation ρ on v. Consider also the two components of $U^*(v) \setminus (G{:}z)$. It is thus reasonable to denote one component of $U^*(v) \setminus (G : z)$ the *clockwise side of* v and the other open set the *counter-clockwise side of* v according to the orientation on $U^*(v)$ induced by ρ and the direction of the darts d_1 and d_k, as in Figure 5.



Figure 5: The clockwise and counter-clockwise sides of v.

Recall the covering map $p\colon S^\alpha \to S$ and let $U^a(v)$ denote the component of $p^{-1}(U^*(v))$ containing v^a. We lift the distinction of clockwise and counterclockwise sets to the elements of $\{U^a : a \in A\}$; let the *clockwise side of* v^a refer to the component of $U^a(v) \setminus (G\colon z)^\alpha$ that p maps to the clockwise side of v and the *counterclockwise side of* v^a refer to the other component.

We now consider an extended voltage assignment to the total graph $T(G)$ associated to $G \to S$. For clarity's sake we require that $U^*(v)$ contains the vertices v, x, w, and y of the special claw corresponding to $E(d_1)$. Assume without loss of generality that the clockwise side of v contains w and the counterclockwise wide of v contains y.

Consider a ribbon neighborhood $R(z_v^{1_A})$ of $(G\colon z)_v^{1_A}$ containing $U^{1_A}(v)$. Let the *east side* of $z_v^{1_A}$ refer to the component of $R(z_v^{1_A}) \setminus (G\colon z)_v^{1_A}$ containing the clockwise side of v^{1_A}, and the *west side* of $z_v^{1_A}$ refer to the other component; the east side of $z_v^{1_A}$ contains w^{1_A} and the west side contains y^{1_A}. Since each lift of W single covers an odd number of orientation-reversing edges of G^α, we see that the east side of $z_v^{1_A}$ contains the counter clockwise side of v^ω. Continuing in this way, we wee that for l odd, the east side of $z_v^{1_A}$ contains the counterclockwise side of v^{ω^l}, and the west side of $z_v^{1_A}$ contains the clockwise side of v^{ω^l}. Moreover, for l even, we see that the east side of $z_v^{1_A}$ contains the clockwise side of v^{ω^l} and the counterclockwise side of v^{ω^l}. Figure 6 illuminates this discussion. Observe the alternation of the rotations of the vertices in the fiber over v following each lift of W. For the sake of a more intelligible picture, we show neither the total graph embedding nor the derived total graph embedding.



Figure 6: A nonorientable ordinary voltage graph embedding and its derived embedding. Local sign switches have been applied to each vertex.

Theorem 3.17. Consider $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A \rangle$ for a nonorientable S and let $z \in Z(G)$ induce an orientation-reversing circle such that an Eulerian walk W of $G:z$ has the property that $|\omega|$ is an even integer. Consider the special claw corresponding to the The following are true.

1. Each z-region of S^α with respect to $\{z_v^a : \ a \in A\}$ contains the same nonzero number of vertices in the fiber over w.
2. For each $a \in A$, the component S_v^a of S^α contains either one or two z-regions with respect to $\{z_v^b : b \in aA(v)\}$.
3. If $\langle \omega \rangle \neq A(v)$, then each component of $(G:z)^\alpha$ is nonseparating.

Proof. By the discussion preceding the statement of Theorem 3.17, we may conclude that both the east and west sides of $z_v^{1_a}$ contain the same nonzero number of the lifts of the clockwise and counterclockwise sides of v. Since A is transitive on the fiber over $G:z$, it follows that both of the components of $R(z_v^a) \setminus (G:z)_v^a$ intersect the same number of components of the lifts the clockwise and counterclockwise sides of v. Part 1 follows.

To prove Part 2, first note that the action of ω on S_v^a can be seen to be a glide reflection on the components of $(G:z)^\alpha$. If there exist two z-regions of S^α relative to the 1-chains in the fiber over z that share a common boundary component, then the action of ω on S^α switches those z-regions, and so we see that any two of these z-regions are homeomorphic. If there are two or more components of $(G:z)^\alpha$ bounding a z-region F, then F cannot be bounded by two or more distinct z-regions since F cannot be switched with two or more distinct z-regions simultaneously. Part 2 follows.

It is clear that $\langle \omega \rangle = A(v, G:z)$, and so, by Lemma 3.6, if we let $I = \sum_{f \in C_2(S)} f$, we have that $\{z_v^a : \ a \in A(v)\}$ is the set of 1-chains inducing the circles in $(G:z)^\alpha$ that are contained in $S_v^{1_A}$. If there is only one z-region of $S_v^{1_A}$ with respect to $\{z_v^a : \ a \in A(v)\}$, then it is apparent that no single $(G:z)_v^a$ is separating. Now, assume that there are two z-regions of $S_v^{1_A}$ with respect to $\{z_v^a : \ a \in A(v)\}$. If $A(v) \neq \langle \omega \rangle$, then $\frac{|A(v,SI)|}{|\omega|} > 0$ and so, by Part 3 of Theorem 3.8, there is more than one component of $(G:z)^\alpha$ bounding both z-regionz of $S_v^{1_A}$ with respect to $\{z_v^a : \ a \in A(v)\}$. Part 3 follows by transitivity of the action of A on the components of S^α. ■

3.2.2 Circles over nonseparating orientation-preserving circles

In this subsection S can be an orientable or nonorientable surface. Consider $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$, and let $z \in Z(G)$ induce an orientation-preserving and nonseparating circle. Let W, ω, $|\omega|$ and v denote the same things they did in Section 3.2.1, but here, $|\omega|$ is allowed to be any positive integer. Consider the extended voltage assignment to the total graph $T(G)$, the special claw corresponding to $E(d_1)$ and let w' and y' take the place of the vertices w, y appearing in Definition 3.11.

Theorem 3.18. Fix $a \in A$ and consider S_v^a.

1. Each of the z-regions of S_v^a with respect to $\left\{z_v^b : \ b \in aA(v)\right\}$ contains a vertex in the fiber over w' and a vertex in the fiber over y'.
2. If there is more than one z-region of S_v^a with respect to $\left\{z_v^b : \ b \in aA(v)\right\}$, then each z-region is bounded by an even number of circles in $(G\!:\!z)^\alpha$.

Proof. By the action of A on itself by left multiplication and Lemma 3.4, it suffices to consider the case in which $a = 1_A$. By Lemma 3.6, the 1-chains contained in $\left\{z_v^b : \ b \in A(v)\right\}$ are the 1-chains over z that are contained in $S_v^{1_A}$. The analogous conclusion also holds for the circles that they induce. If there is only one z-region of $S_v^{1_A}$ with respect to the z_v^b, then Part 1 follows.

We now treat the case in which there are multiple z-regions of $S_v^{1_A}$ with respect to the z_v^b. Since $G\!:\!z$ is nonseparating, there is a w'-y'-walk W' in M' that does not transversely cross $G\!:\!z$. Let ω' denote $\omega(W')$. Therefore, for each w'^c, there is a unique W'^c that begins at w'^c, ends at $y'^{c\omega'}$, and does not transversely cross any circle in $\left\{(G\!:\!z)_v^b : \ b \in A(v)\right\}$. Fix $b \in A(v)$. By Remark 3.12, one component of $R(z_v^b) \setminus (G\!:\!z)_v^b$ contains w'^b and other other contains y'^b. Following a lift of W' backwards, we see that the z-region that contains y'^b also contains $w^{b\omega'^{-1}}$. Following a lift of W', we see that the z-region that contains w'^b also contains $y'^{b\omega'}$. Part 1 follows.

We now move to proving Part 2 for the case that there are multiple z-regions. For $b \in aA(v)$, let the *east side of* z_v^b denote the component of $p^{-1}(R(z) \setminus (G\!:\!z))$ containing w'^b and let the *west side of* z_v^b denote the component of $p^{-1}(R(z) \setminus (G\!:\!z))$ containing y'^b. Since each lift of W is orientation preserving, we see that the east side of z_v^b contains each of the vertices

$$w'^b,\ w'^{b\omega}, \ldots, w'^{b\omega^{|\omega|-1}},$$

and the west side contains each of the vertices

$$y'^b,\ y'^{b\omega}, \ldots, y'^{b\omega^{|\omega|-1}}.$$

For a fixed b, consider a z-region F bounded by $(G\!:\!z)_v^b$ and assume for the sake of contradiction that F is bounded by an odd number of circles of $(G\!:\!z)^\alpha$. Since F contains at least one vertex in each the fibers over w' and y', F contains at least one east side of one of the $(G\!:\!z)_v^b$ bounding it and at least one west side of another circle of $(G\!:\!z)^\alpha$ bounding it. Without loss of generality, assume that F contains a nonzero odd number q of east sides and an nonzero even number r of west sides of the $(G\!:\!z)_v^b$. Using the action of A on $S_v^{1_A}$ and the associated symmetry, each $\bar{S}_{w'}^d$ with respect to the z_v^b can be expressed as $c \cdot F$ for some $c \in A(v)$. Assuming that there are a total of n z-regions, we see that $S_v^{1_A}$ contains qn east sides in S_v^a and rn west sides in $S_v^{1_A}$, which contradicts that there must be the same number of east and west sides in $S_v^{1_A}$ bounded by the $(G\!:\!z)_v^b$. This completes Part 2. □

Corollary 3.19. Each of the circles in $\left\{(G\!:\!z)_v^b : \ b \in aA(v)\right\}$ is nonseparating.

Proof. This is immediate if there is only one z-region of S_v^a with respect to the z_v^b. If there is more than one such z-region, then Part 2 of Theorem 3.18 implies the conclusion since the corresponding z-graph is a regular graph with each vertex of nonzero even degree. □

3.2.3 Cosets and z-graphs from Archdeacon's enhancements

Constructions 3.22 and 3.23 allow us to algebraically describe a decomposition of any component S_v^a of S^α in terms of the z-regions associated to the circles forming the fiber over an orientation-reversing circle that lifts to orientation-preserving circles, or to the circles forming the fiber over orientation-preserving circles, respectively. Before we state the constructions, we need Lemma 3.20.

Consider $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$, and $z \in Z(G)$ such that $G : z$ induces a nonseparating circle. Fix $v \in V(G)$ and let $W = d_1 d_2 \ldots d_l$ be an Eulerian walk of $G : z$ based at v. Consider also the special

claw corresponding to $E(d_1)$ and let w' and y' take the place of w and y in Definition 3.11. Implicitly using the equality $A'(w') = A(v)$ occurring in Lemma 3.13 we are able to establish a bijection between left cosets of $A'(w', G:z)$ contained in $aA(v)$ and the z-regions of S_v^a with respect to $\{z_v^b : b \in aA(v)\}$, which, by Lemma 3.6 is the set of 1-chains in the fiber over z that is contained in S_v^a.

Lemma 3.20. The function $\phi_\veebar : \{bA^\veebar(w', G:z) : b \in aA'(w')\} \to \{\bar{S}_{w'}^b : b \in aA'(w')\}$ defined by

$$\phi_\veebar(bA^\veebar(w', G:z)) = \bar{S}_{w'}^b(z)$$

is a bijection

Proof. By Part 1 of Theorem 3.17 and Theorem 3.18, each $\bar{S}_{w'}^b$ $b \in A'(w')$ contains at least one vertex in the fiber over w'. By Lemma 3.4 and the A-action on S^α, it suffices to consider the case $a = 1_A$. It is apparent that $\bar{S}_{w'}^c = \bar{S}_{w'}^d$ if and only if there is a w'^c-w'^d-walk W' in M'^α that does not transversely cross any component of $(G:z)^\alpha$. Given such a w'^c-w'^d-walk W', it follows that $p(W'^c)$ is a w'-walk in M' of net voltage $c^{-1}d$ that does not transversely cross $G:z$. The result follows. □

For $a \in A$, let $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_j$ denote representatives of the left cosets of $\langle\omega(W)\rangle$ in $aA(v)$. Also, for the purposes of constructions 3.23 and 3.23, we let ω denote $\omega(W)$ and $|\omega|$ denote $|\langle\omega\rangle|$.

After using the equality $A'(w') = A(v)$ of Lemma 3.13, Theorem 3.21 follows from Lemma 3.20 just as Theorem 3.8 followed from Lemmas 3.2, 3.5, 3.6, and 3.7.

Theorem 3.21. Let $z \in Z(G)$ induce a nonseparating circle such that $(G:z)^\alpha$ is a disjoint union of orientation-preserving circles. There are

$$\frac{|A(v)|}{|A'(w', G:z)|}$$

z-regions of S_v^a with respect to $\{z_v^b : b \in aA(v)\}$.

Proof. : Omitted. □

Construction 3.22. Let z induce an orientation-reversing circle and let $|\omega|$ be even so that, per Lemma 3.16, the circles forming the fiber over $G:z$ are orientation-preserving. Since the east and west sides of $z_v^{1_A}$ contain alternate vertices in the list

$$w'^{1_A}, w'^{\omega}, w'^{\omega^2}, w'^{\omega^3}, \ldots, W^{\omega^{|\omega|-1}}$$

we see that the z-regions containing $(G:z)_v^{1_A}$ in their boundaries contain all of the vertices in one (or both) of the lists

$$w'^{1_A},\ w'^{\omega^2}, \ldots, w^{\omega^{|\omega|-2}},$$
$$w'^{\omega}, w'^{\omega^3}, \ldots, w^{\omega^{|\omega|-1}}.$$

In the case of there being only one z-region of $S_v^{1_A}$ with respect to $\{z_v^a : a \in A(v)\}$, then that z-region will contain all of the vertices of

$$w'^{1_A}, w'^{\omega}, w'^{\omega^2}, w'^{\omega^3}, \ldots, w'^{|\omega|-1}.$$

Since the A-action on S^α is free on the vertices in the fiber over w', we see that, for each $c \in A$, each component of $R(z_v^c) \setminus (G:z)_v^c$ contains the vertices in the fiber over w' whose superscripts are those found in one of the left cosets

$$\left\{c, c\omega^2,\ c\omega^4 \ldots,\ c\omega^{|\omega|-2}\right\},$$
$$\left\{c\omega,\ c\omega^3,\ c\omega^5, \ldots,\ c\omega^{|\omega|-1}\right\}.$$

Using the equality of Lemma 3.13 implicitly, we see that per Lemma 3.20, the z-regions of S_v^a relative to $\left\{z_v^{b_1}, \ldots,\ z_v^{b_j}\right\}$ correspond bijectively to the left cosets of $A'(w', G:z)$ contained in $aA(v)$. Recall that in the derived embedding of the total graph, the vertices w'^x and y'^x are in the same component of the special claw corresponding to $E(d_1)$ as v^x. Implicitly using the bijection of Lemma 3.5, we see that the left cosets of $\langle\omega\rangle$ contained in $aA'(w')$ correspond bijectively with the 1-chains in the fiber over z in S_v^a, and that the left cosets of $\langle\omega^2\rangle$ contained in the left coset $\left\{c\omega, c\omega^2, \ldots, c\omega^{|\omega|-1}\right\}$ correspond bijectively to the two components of $R(z_v^c) \setminus (G:z)_v^a$.

Thus, using the aforementioned bijections implicitly, we may use the containment of the aforementioned left cosets to construct $\Gamma(z_v^{b_1}, \ldots, z_v^{b_j})$: the left cosets of $A'(w')$ contained in $aA(v)$ are the vertices, the left cosets of $\langle\omega\rangle$ are the edges, and the two left cosets of $\langle\omega^2\rangle$ contained in the same left coset of $\langle\omega\rangle$ are the two ends of the same edge; an edge end is incident to the vertex that contains it. □

Construction 3.23. Let z induce an orientation-preserving nonseparating cirle, with no assumptions made about $|\omega|$. We define the set $A^{\vee}(w', y', G:z)$ to be the set (not necessarily a group) of net voltages of walks in M' beginning at w', ending at w' or y', and not transversely crossing $G:z$. The two vertices w'^a and y'^b are in the same z-region, $\bar{S}_v^a$, with respect to $\{z_v^b : b \in aA(v)\}$ if and only if there is a walk $\tilde{W}$ in the embedded M'^{α} beginning at w'^a, ending at y'^b, and not transversely crossing any element of $\{(G:z)_v^b : b \in aA(v)\}$. The last statement is true if and only if $\omega(p(\tilde{W})) = a^{-1}b$ is an element of $A^{\vee}(w', y', G:z)$. For similar reasons, w'^a and w'^b are contained in the same z-region if and only if $a^{-1}b$ is an element of $A^{\vee}(w', y', G:z)$. For $a_1 \in A$, let $a_1 \cdot A^{\vee}(w', y', G:z) = \{a_1 r : r \in A^{\vee}(w', y', G:z)\}$. Recall that the vertices w'^c, y'^c, and v^c are contained in the same lift of the special claw corresponding to $E(d_1)$, and each lift of W is an orientation-preserving walk. Thus, if $\bar{S}_{w'}^d$ contains w'^c, then it contains $w'^{c\omega^2}$, $w'^{c\omega^3}$, $\ldots$, $w'^{c\omega^{|\omega|-1}}$. Similarly, if $\bar{S}_{w'}^d$ contains a vertex y'^c, then it contains $y'^{c\omega^2}, y^{c\omega^3}, \ldots, y'^{c\omega^{|\omega|-1}}$. It follows that each left coset of $\langle\omega\rangle$ is contained in one or two left cosets of the form $a_1 \cdot A^{\vee}(w', y', G:z)$.

To any left coset $bA^{\vee}(w', G:z)$, we may associate a unique set $b \cdot A^{\vee}(w', y', G:z)$ that contains the group-element superscripts of the vertices in the fibers over w' and y' contained in $\bar{S}_{w'}^b$. Implicitly using this bijection, the equality of Lemma 3.13, and the bijections of Lemmas 3.20 and 3.5, we may say that the vertices and the edges of $\Gamma(z_v^{b_1}, \ldots, z_v^{b_j})$, are the sets of the form $a_1 \cdot A^{\vee}(w', y', G:z)$ and the sets of the form $a_1\langle\omega\rangle$ contained in $A(v)$, respectively, and an edge is incident to the one or two vertices that contain it. □

4 Examples

Here we give some infinite families of ordinary voltage graph embeddings whose derived embeddings satisfy specific properties that are guaranteed by the results of the previous sections. Given a voltage group A and $a \in A$, we let $|a|$ denote $|\langle a\rangle|$ for the remainder of this section.

Example 4.1 shows that for any positive integers a and b we can construct an ordinary voltage graph embedding such that a separating circle $G:z$ bounds two induced regions $S:I$, $S:I^c$ such both the number of components of $(S:I)^{\alpha}$ and $(S:I^c)^{\alpha}$ and the number of circles bounding the components of $(S:I)^{\alpha}$ and $(S:I^c)^{\alpha}$ are determined by the greatest common divisor of a and b. We also have that there is only one component of S^{α}.

Example 4.1. Let a and b be positive integers, let $n = ab$. Let lcm(a,b) denote the least common multiple of a and b, and let gcd(a,b) denote the greatest common divisor of a and b. Let $c = \frac{\text{lcm}(a,b)}{a}$ and $d = \frac{\text{lcm}(a,b)}{b}$ and consider Figure 7. Let S stand in the place of S^2. Since lcm(a,b) $= \frac{ab}{\text{gcd(a,b)}}$, c and d are relatively prime, and that $\langle c, d\rangle = \mathbb{Z}_n$. Let $z = e_2$ and note that $z = \partial(f_1 + f_2) = \partial(f_3 + f_4)$. Let $I = f_1 + f_2$ and $I^c = f_3 + f_4$. Note that $A(v, S:I) = \langle d\rangle$, $A(v, S:I^c) = \langle c\rangle$, and $A(v, G:z) = \{0\}$. By Part 1 of Theorem 3.8, there is only one component of S^{α}. By Part 2 of Theorem 3.8, there are $\frac{n}{|d|}$ components of $(S:I)^{\alpha}$ contained in S_v^0, and there are $\frac{n}{|c|}$ components of $(S:I^c)^{\alpha}$ contained in S_v^0. By Part 3 of Theorem 3.21, there are $|d|$ components of $(G:z)^{\alpha}$ contained in each component of $(S:I)^{\alpha}$ and there are $|c|$ components of $(G:z)^{\alpha}$ contained in each component of $(S:I^c)^{\alpha}$. By Construction 3.9, we can construct $\Gamma(z_v^0, \ldots, z_v^{n-1})$ by understanding the containments of the left cosets of $A(v, G:z)$ in the left cosets of $A(v, S:I)$ and the left cosets of $A(v, S:I^c)$: the edge z_v^r is incident to the vertices $(S:I)_v^a$ and $(S:I^c)_v^a$ if and only if $r \in \langle c\rangle \cap \langle d\rangle$.



Figure 7: An ordinary voltage graph embedding, which is part of Example 4.1. Only the nonidentity voltages are indicated.

Example 4.2 shows that for any positive integer n, there exists an ordinary voltage graph embedding such that an orientation-reversing circle $G : z$ lifts to n orientation-preserving circles that bound two homeomorphic z-regions bounded by the n circles forming $(G : z)^\alpha$. We let P^2 denote the projective plane.

Example 4.2. Let n denote any positive integer and ab stand for $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. Consider Figure 8. Let S stand in the place of P^2. Since there are loops in G whose voltages generate $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, Part 1 of Theorem 3.8 implies that there is only one component of S^α. Let $z \in Z(G)$ be the loop e, and note that $A(v, G : z) = \langle 10 \rangle$. By Part 3 of Theorem 3.8, there are n circles forming $(G : z)^\alpha$. In this case $A'(w, G : z) \cong \langle 01 \rangle$. Thus, by Theorem 3.21, there are 2 homeomorphic z-regions of S_v^{00} with respect to $\{z_v^a : \ a \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}\}$, each bounded by the n circles forming $(G : z)^\alpha$. There are only two z-regions of S_v^{00} with respect to the 1-chains forming the fiber over z. Per Construction 3.22, we conclude that the z-graph $\Gamma(z_v^{00}, z_v^{01}, \ldots, z_v^{0(n-1)})$ consists of n parallel edges joining two vertices.



Figure 8: An ordinary voltage graph embedding with the extended voltage assignment to the total graph. We let ab stand in the place of $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. Only the nonidentity voltages are indicated. The edges of M' are dashed and the edge e of G is colored grey.

Example 4.3 shows that for any positive integer n, we can construct an ordinary voltage graph embedding such that an orientation-reversing circle lifts to n orientation preserving circles and there is only one z-region of S^α with respect to the z_v^a. Again, we let P^2 denote the projective plane.

Example 4.3. Let n denote any positive integer , and let ab stand for $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. Consider Figure 9, and let $z \in Z(G)$ be the loop e in Figure 9. Let S stand in the place of P^2. Since there are loops in G whose voltages generate $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, Part 1 of Theorem 3.8 implies that there is only one component of S^α. Note that $A(v, G : z) = \langle 10 \rangle$. By Part 3 of Theorem 3.8, there are n circles forming $(G : z)^\alpha$. In this case $A'(w, G : z) = A(v)$. Thus, by Theorem 3.21, there is only one z-region of S_v^{00} with respect to

$\{z_v^a : a \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_n\}$. Per Construction 3.22, we conclude that the $\Gamma(z_v^{00}, z_v^{01}, \ldots, z_v^{0(n-1)})$ is a bouquet of n loops.



Figure 9: An ordinary voltage graph embedding with the extended voltage assignment to the total graph. The edges of M' are dashed and the edge e is colored grey. Only the nonidentity voltages are indicated.

Example 4.4 shows that for any positive integer k, there exists an ordinary voltage graph embedding such that the fiber over an orientation-preserving nonseparating circle $G : z$ bounds multiple z-regions with respect to the fiber over z, and that each of these $z-$regions is bounded by exactly $2k$ circles of $(G : z)^\alpha$.

Example 4.4. Let integers n and d satisfy $n = kd$ for a given integer $k > 1$. Consider Figure 10, and let $z \in Z(G)$ be the loop e appearing in Figure 10. Let S take the place of T. Since there is a loop in G with voltage 1, we see that $A(v) = \mathbb{Z}_n$. By Part 1 of Theorem 3.8, there is only one component of S^α. Since $A(v, G : z) = \{0\}$, Part 3 of Theorem 3.8 implies that there are n circles forming $(G : z)^\alpha$. Note that $A'(w, G : z) \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$, and so, by Theorem 3.21, there are exactly d z-regions of S_v^0 with respect to $\{z_v^a : a \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$. Since there is a walk in M' of net voltage 1 from w to y, and 1 is not an element of $A'(w, G : z)$, we conclude that each z-region is bounded by $2k$ circles in the fiber over $G : z$. Per Construction 3.23, we conclude that $\Gamma(z_v^0, \ldots, z_v^{n-1})$ is a connected $2k$-regular graph consisting of d vertices, and the edge z_v^a is incident to the vertex $bA^\vee(w, G : z)$ if and only if the left coset $\left\{a,\ a\omega, a\omega^2, \ldots,\ a\omega^{|\omega|-1}\right\}$ of $\langle\omega\rangle$ in $A(v)$ is contained in the corresponding set $a \cdot A^\vee(w, y, G : z)$.



Figure 10: An ordinary voltage graph embedding with the extended voltage assignment to the total graph. The edges of M' are dashed, the edge e is colored grey, and only the nonidentity voltages are shown.

Example 4.5 shows that for any positive integer n, it is possible to have n orientation-preserving circles forming the fiber over an orientation-preserving circle, and that there is only one z-region of S^α with respect to the 1-chains inducing these circles.

Example 4.5. Let n denote any positive integer. Consider Figure 11, and let $z \in Z(G)$ be the loop e appearing in Figure 11. Let S stand in the place of T. Since there is a loop in G with voltage 1, we see that $A(v) = \mathbb{Z}_n$, and so by Part 1 of Theorem 3.8, there is only one component of S^α. Since $A(v, G:z) = \{0\}$, Part 3 implies that there are n circles forming $(G:z)^\alpha$. Note that $A'(w, G:z) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$, and so, by Theorem 3.21, there are exactly two z-regions of $T_v^{1_A}$ with respect to $\{z_v^a : a \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$. Per Construction 3.23, we conclude that $\Gamma(z_v^0, \ldots, z_v^{n-1})$ is a bouquet of n loops.



Figure 11: An ordinary voltage graph embedding in the torus T with the extended voltage assignment to the total graph added. The edges of M' are dashed, the edge e is colored grey, and only the nonidentity voltages are shown.

Remark 4.6. Given $\langle G \to S, \alpha \to A\rangle$ and a vertex v of G, it is possible to modify the voltage group in such a way that the derived surface has more components. Consider the group $A \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ and the voltage assignment α_2 satisfying $\alpha_2(e) = (\alpha(e), 0)$. If α satisfies $A(v) = A$, then Part 1 of Theorem 3.8 implies that there is only one component of S^α and that there are n components of S^{α_2}.

5 Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank his dissertation advisor, Lowell Abrams, for his wisdom and counsel. Most of the content of this article appears in the author's dissertation.

References

[1] L. Abrams and D. Slilaty. Cellular automorphisms and self duality. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, to appear.*

[2] D. Archdeacon. The medial graph and voltage-current duality. *Discrete Mathematics*, 104:111–141, 1992.

[3] D. Archdeacon, M. Conder, and J. Širán. Trinity symmetry and kaleidoscopic regular maps. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 366:4491–4512, 2014.

[4] M. Ellingham and J. Schroeder. Orientable hamilton cycle embeddings of complete tripartite graphs ii: voltage graph constructions and applications. *Journal of Graph Theory, to appear.*

[5] B. Garman. Voltage graph embeddings and the associated block designs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 3:53–67, 1979.

[6] J. Gross and T. Tucker. *Topological Graph Theory.* Wiley, 1986.